IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL, CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT **PETITION NO.2560 OF 2006**

Deepak Rao ..Petitioner

Vs.

The Slum Rehabilitation Authority

& Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. O.J. Menezes, for the Petitioner

Mr. G.D. Utangale, for respondent No.1.

Mr.K.R.Belosey,Government Pleader for

Respondent No.3.

Ms. Trupti Puranik, for respondent No.2.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &

ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATE: 10TH OCTOBER,2006

P.C.

. Rule. Heard forthwith. It is the case of the petitioner that his case is similar and/or identical to the cases of 14 other societies which were excluded from the Dharavi Redevelopment Project as set out in the order dated 2nd August, 2005. The case of the petitioner is that he had received

Annexure 2 in 1999 and the application had been made in 1998. Considering the above, we direct the C.E.O.,of respondent No.1 after hearing the petitioner to pass appropriate orders according to law at any rate not later than eight weeks from today. No order as to costs.

(F.I. REBELLO, J)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J).